20

21

22

23

26

27

28

1	
2	*E-filed 4/23/08*
3	
4	
5	
6	NOT FOR CITATION
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION
10	MARK HOUSTON, No. C 07-00859 HRL
11	Plaintiff,
12	v. INTERIM ORDER REQUIRING RESUBMISSION OF PROPOSED
13 14	COUNTRY COACH, INC., FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS
15	Defendant.
16	
17	The parties' previously submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law are
18	incomplete and unacceptably abbreviated. For example, Defendant only references the federa
19	statute and makes no mention of its mitigation affirmative defense. Plaintiff says nothing about

incomplete and unacceptably abbreviated. For example, Defendant only references the federal statute and makes no mention of its mitigation affirmative defense. Plaintiff says nothing about the amount of claimed damages. Also, neither one covers each of Plaintiff's proposed legal theories of liability. Finally, and most importantly, neither (1) individually identifies the disputed material facts which were the subject of evidence at trial, or (2) describes the evidence which supports the determination they wish the court to reach on the disputed material facts.

24 Counsel are directed to file revised revised findings and conclusions by May 2, 2008.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 4/23/08

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE UDGE

28

1	THIS SHALL CERTIFY THAT NOTICE WILL BE SENT TO:
2	2
3	Terry L. Baker tbaker@consumerlawgroup.net
4	Kevin J. Tully kevin@tullylaw.net, jeanine@tullylaw.net, julie@tullylaw.net
5	
6	
7	* Counsel are responsible for providing copies of this order to co-counsel who have not registered for e-filing.
8	
9	Date: 4/23/08  KRO Chambers of Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lle
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	